



**PATENT APPLICATION**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re the Application of

Albert DURANTON et al.

Group Art Unit: 1611

Application No.: 10/517,423

Examiner: G. YU

Filed: March 10, 2005

Docket No.: 122005

For: USE OF TAURINE FOR TREATING ALOPECIA

**DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.132**

I, Duranton Albert, a citizen of France, hereby declare and state:

1. I have a degree in DVM Doc IIIème cycle which was conferred upon me by Ecole Nationale Vétérinaire & Institut Polytechnique Paul Sabatier in Toulouse in 1985.
2. I have been employed by L'Oreal since 1986 and I have had a total of 20 years of work and research experience in treatment methods for hair follicle miniaturization and alopecia.
3. I am a member of Ordre National de Vétérinaires
4. My publications include the following works in this field: Collin C, Gautier B, Gaillard O, Hallegot P, Chabane S, Bastien P, Peyron M, Bouleau M, Thibaut S, Pruche F, Duranton A, Bernard BA. Protective effects of taurine on human hair follicle grown in vitro. Int J Cosmet Sci. 2006 Aug;28(4):289-98
5. I am a named inventor in the above-captioned patent application.
6. I am not being directly compensated for my work in connection with this

Declaration.

7. I and/or those under my direct supervision and control have conducted the following tests :

### **Abbreviations**

TGF- $\beta$ : Transforming Growth Factor  $\beta$   
CPM: Counts Per Minute  
DMEM: Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium  
Esm: Standard error of the mean  
NHDF: Normal Human Dermal Fibroblast  
Sd: Standard deviation  
FCS: Fetal Calf Serum  
MW: Molecular Weight

### **Materials**

#### **Cells and media**

- Cell type: NHDF; reference *Bioalternatives*, batch PF2 (used at the 8<sup>th</sup> passage).
- Culture conditions: 37°C, 5 % CO<sub>2</sub>
- Culture medium: DMEM completed with L-glutamine 2mM, Penicilline 50 U/ml, Streptomycine 50  $\mu$ g/ml, FCS 10 %
- Test medium: DMEM completed with L-glutamine 2mM, Penicilline 50 U/ml, Streptomycine 50  $\mu$ g/ml, FCS 1 %

#### **Tested compounds**

- Green tea polyphenols (MW: 458.4 g.mol<sup>-1</sup>), stock solution concentration: 100 mM in DMSO.
- Taurine (MW: 125.15 g.mol<sup>-1</sup>), stock solution concentration: 100 mM in ultrapure water.
- TGF- $\beta$ : stock solution concentration: 250 ng/ml

### **General description**

Taurine alone, polyphenols alone and the combination of polyphenols and taurine were tested on NDHF, wherein proline-rich proteins (namely collagens) hyperproduction was induced by adding TGF- $\beta$ .

The effect of the tested components was evaluated through the measure of radiolabelled proline (i.e. L-[2,3-<sup>3</sup>H]-proline) incorporation.

L-[2,3-<sup>3</sup>H]-proline incorporation measure

Cells were cultivated in a culture medium for 24 hours. At confluence, the medium was replaced by test medium completed with TGF- $\beta$  at 1 ng/ml, with or without ("blank") the components to be tested (taurine and polyphenols, separately, and their combination). Cells were then incubated for 72 hours. In order to study proline-rich protein hyperproduction, radio-labelled marker L-[2,3-<sup>3</sup>H]-proline (333  $\mu$ Ci/ml) was added for the last 24 hours of culture. All the experimental conditions were conducted in triplicate.

Proline-rich protein hyperproduction is characterized by the accumulation of both secreted proline-rich proteins and "constitutive" proline-rich proteins (i.e. intercellular/matrix proteins).

Consequently, L-[2,3-<sup>3</sup>H]-proline incorporation was evaluated both in secreted proline-rich proteins (i.e. soluble proteins) and, analogous to the test performed in the application as filed (see pages 25-26), in neosynthesized proline-rich proteins (i.e. intercellular/matrix proteins) in the fibroblasts.

Thus, at the end of incubation, supernatants were collected on the one hand and "cell tissues" were lysed by adding chaotropic buffer on the other hand.

In both case, proteins were precipitated with trichloroacetic acid and recollected on filter and L-[2,3-<sup>3</sup>H]-proline incorporation remaining on the support was evaluated by liquid scintillation.

In the following tests, the results are expressed as a percentage of the control (i.e. with TGF- $\beta$  only).

More particularly, the greater the decrease in percentage of detected radioactivity, the more proline incorporation is affected and thus the more hyperproduction of proline-rich proteins is inhibited.

## PART I: EFFECT OF POLYPHENOLS AND TAURINE SEPARATELY

### i) Effect on secreted proline-rich proteins (supernatant)

All the results are reported in the following table 1.

**Table 1**

Tested components	Concentration	cpm	cpm mean	esm	% Control	esm (%)	Statistic significance threshold (p)
Control (TGF- $\beta$ )	1 ng/ml	4099 3475 3436	3670	215	100	6	-
Green tea polyphenols	10 $\mu$ M	3220 2779 3109	3036	132	83	4	ns
	100 $\mu$ M	1899 1806 1396	1700	155	46	4	**
Taurine	1 mM	4635 3226 3480	3780	434	103	12	ns
	5 mM	3922 3340 3378	3547	188	97	5	ns

Ns:  $p > 0.05$  : Non significant

\*\* :  $0.001 \leq p \leq 0.01$  : Very significant

\* :  $0.01 \leq p \leq 0.05$  : Significant

\*\*\* :  $p < 0.001$  : Extremely significant

As expected, after TGF- $\beta$  treatment, the secretion of proline-rich proteins was increased. This result validates the assay.

Concerning polyphenols alone, at 10  $\mu$ M, no significant effect was observed on proline incorporation.

At a higher concentration (100  $\mu$ M), proline incorporation was significantly affected.

However, at this concentration, an important cytotoxic effect has been revealed by microscopic observations.

Concerning taurine alone, at 1 or 5 mM, proline incorporation was not significantly affected.

## ii) Effect on intercellular/matrix proline-rich proteins

All the results are reported in the following table 2.

**Table 2**

Tested components	Concentration	cpm	cpm mean	esm	% Control	esm (%)	Statistic significance threshold (p)
Control (TGF- $\beta$ )	1 ng/ml	4257 3448 4817	4174	397	100	10	-
Green tea polyphénols	10 $\mu$ M	2453 3230 3398	3027	281	73	7	ns
	100 $\mu$ M	1091 1108 1305	1168	69	28	2	**
Taurine	1 mM	2648 3165 4068	3293	414	79	10	ns
	5 mM	2184 3200 3385	2923	373	70	9	ns

Ns:  $p > 0.05$  : Non significant

\*\* :  $0.001 \leq p \leq 0.01$  : Very significant

\* :  $0.01 \leq p \leq 0.05$  : Significant

\*\*\* :  $p < 0.001$  : Extremely significant

As expected, after TGF- $\beta$  treatment, the synthesis of proline-rich proteins by the fibroblasts was increased. This result validates the assay.

Concerning green tea polyphenols, the results are similar to those obtained on soluble proteins (same tested concentrations).

Concerning taurine, at 1 mM and 5 mM, proline incorporation in intercellular/matrix proline-rich proteins was not significantly modified.

## PART II: EFFECT OF POLYPHENOLS/TAURINE COMBINATION

### i) Effect on secreted proline-rich proteins (supernatant)

This assay was performed as previously described in Part I and the corresponding results are summarized in the following table 3.

**Table 3**

Tested components	Concentration	cpm	cpm mean	esm	% Control	esm (%)	Statistic significance threshold (p)
Control (TGF- $\beta$ )	1 ng/ml	4167 4121 4000	4096	50	100	1	-
Green tea polyphénols + Taurine	1 $\mu$ M + 1 mM	2418 2589 2305	2440	85	60	2	***

Ns:  $p > 0.05$  : Non significant

\*\* :  $0.001 \leq p \leq 0.01$  : Very significant

\* :  $0.01 \leq p \leq 0.05$  : Significant

\*\*\* :  $p < 0.001$  : Extremely significant

As expected, after TGF- $\beta$  treatment, the secretion of proline-rich proteins was increased. This result validates the assay.

The green tea polyphenols/taurine combination at 1  $\mu$ M/1 mM significantly affected proline incorporation.

### ii) Effect on intercellular/matrix proline-rich proteins

The assay was performed as previously described in Part I and the corresponding results are summarized in the following table 4.

Table 4

Tested components	Concentration	cpm	cpm mean	esm	% Control	esm (%)	Statistic significance threshold (p)
Control (TGF- $\beta$ )	1 ng/ml	4382 4315 4276	4324	31	100	1	-
Green tea polyphenols + Taurine	1 $\mu$ M + 1 mM	2461 2278 2656	2532	171	59	4	***
	10 $\mu$ M + 5 mM	2969 2575 2562	2702	134	62	3	***

Ns:  $p > 0.05$  : Non significant\*:  $0.01 \leq p \leq 0.05$  : Significant\*\*:  $0.001 \leq p \leq 0.01$  : Very significant\*\*\*:  $p < 0.001$  : Extremely significant

As expected, after TGF- $\beta$  treatment, the synthesis of proline-rich proteins by the fibroblasts was increased. This result validates the assay.

As for soluble proteins, the green tea polyphenols/taurine combination 1  $\mu$ M/1 mM significantly affected proline incorporation.

Furthermore, such a combination comprising 10  $\mu$ M of polyphenols and 5 mM of taurine also significantly affected proline incorporation.

## CONCLUSION

Taurine alone, tested at 1mM or 5 mM, has no significant inhibition effect, either on secreted proline-rich proteins or on neosynthesized proline-rich proteins, i.e. intercellular/matrix proteins (see tables 1 and 2).

However, when 1  $\mu$ M of polyphenols is added to the same concentration of 1mM of taurine, a significant inhibiting effect was observed on both secreted proline-rich proteins and neosynthesized proline-rich proteins, i.e. intercellular/matrix proteins (see tables 3 and 4),

whereas polyphenols alone, at a 10-time higher concentration (10 $\mu$ M) showed no significant inhibiting effect (see tables 1 and 2).

Furthermore, whereas polyphenols alone at 10  $\mu$ M exhibited no inhibiting activity on neosynthesized proline-rich proteins (see table 2), a significant inhibition of the neosynthesized proline-rich proteins was observed when polyphenols, at the same concentration of 10  $\mu$ M was combined with 5 mM of taurine (see table 4).

In view of the foregoing, the combination of taurine and polyphenol thus has a synergistic and significant inhibiting effect on both secreted proline-rich proteins and on neosynthesized proline-rich proteins (i.e. intercellular/matrix proteins).

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true, and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine and/or imprisonment under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing therefrom.

Date: 18 2 2010

18/2/2010



DURANTON A.